Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Ringwood School Academy
Number of pupils in school	1593
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	13%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium	2021/2022
strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	to 2024/2025
Date this statement was published	December 2022
Date on which it will be reviewed	December 2023
Statement authorised by	Student Welfare Governing Committee
Pupil premium lead	Mr Tim Broadhouse
Governor / Trustee lead	Sasha Lewis / Louise Betteridge

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£196,000
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£47,000
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£24,000
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£267,000

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intention at Ringwood School, is that irrespective of background, all of our students receive a high-quality education that is broad and balanced and enables them to make good progress and achieve and sustain positive outcomes.

At the heart of our approach is high-quality teaching that focuses on areas that disadvantaged students require the most, and responds to individual need. Evidence supports that this has the biggest impact on closing the disadvantaged attainment gap, while at the same time benefits our non-disadvantaged students.

Our Pupil Premium strategy focuses on supporting students in overcoming barriers and challenges to their learning through targeted evidence-based intervention both academically (with particular focus on literacy), and socially and emotionally. Our strategy will be rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, observations and student voice and not driven by assumptions. This ensures that we spend funding on impactful interventions.

We are aware of the context of our school, a small market town with very little culturally (e.g. no cinemas, theatres, large businesses) and therefore aim to build our students' cultural capital through the curriculum and wider opportunities. We will also ensure that disadvantaged students are supported with the knowledge and understanding to make informed decisions about steps that will impact on their future pathways e.g. 1:1 options guidance, 1:1 career guidance, high-quality work experience, further and higher education guidance and application support.

 Our strategy is also integral to the wider School plans for education recovery, notably in its plans for targeted support through school-led tutoring and catch up literacy and numeracy support for students whose education has been worst effect, including disadvantaged pupils.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Our observations in July 2018 from a PP review, showed that more consistency was needed across the curriculum when understanding and meeting the needs of disadvantaged pupils in lessons through high-quality teaching. While this has been addressed and substantial progress made as

	reflected in our Challenge Partners' report, needs are ever changing, particularly in light of the pandemic and therefore it is imperative this remains an integral part to the plan.
2	Assessments, observations and discussions with KS3 pupils indicate that disadvantaged pupils generally have lower reading comprehension that their peers, which impacts on their progress across the curriculum.
	Assessments, on entry to year 7 in September 2021 show that disadvantaged pupils have standardised scores for reading 12.4% lower than their non-disadvantaged peers.
	Assessments in summer 2020 for years 7-9 (current 8-10) showed that the standardised scores for reading of disadvantaged students are between 4-10% lower than their non-disadvantaged peers.
3	Our observations and outcomes from a PP review in July 2018 and Challenge Partner review in March 2020 suggested that many disadvantaged pupils (particularly lower attaining pupils) lack the metacognitive and self-regulation strategies when needing to apply them to challenging tasks.
4	Assessments on entry to year 7 in the last 3 years indicate that our disadvantaged pupils, on average, have a standardised score 4.5-8% lower than their non-disadvantaged peers in numeracy.
	In the last 2 years (2020/21, 2021/22), the year 7 disadvantaged cohort arrived with numeracy levels just 8- 9% lower than age-related expectations in comparison to their non-disadvantaged peers who have arrived within 1% lower than age-related expectations.
	The cohort of 2019/20, while disadvantaged students on entry had numeracy levels of 5% below their non-disadvantaged peers, disadvantaged pupils arrived on average at age-expected expectations. The recent data of the last two years, could be attributed to the suggestion that the partial school closures impacted to a greater extent on our disadvantaged pupils.
5	School closure saw us as a school move to Microsoft Teams. This highlighted the number of disadvantaged pupils who did not have adequate access to IT. Returning to school, many pupils are still having to self-isolate for periods of a time as a result of the ongoing pandemic. We use Microsoft Teams in order to stream live lessons and set work for these students. In addition to this, we have embraced the use of Microsoft Teams as our means for setting homelearning. Therefore, lack of IT access at home would negatively impact on our disadvantaged pupils' ability to join lessons and complete school work while self-isolating and complete their live lessons.
6	Our assessments, observations, conversations with students and their families have identified an increase in social and emotional mental health difficulties for our students such as anxiety and low self-esteem. In our well-being survey completed on the return to school in March 2021, 11% of students asked for additional support with the biggest concerns being anxiety (35.1%) and schoolwork (24.6%) or other (26.3%) where students gave their own details which were mostly a combination of both anxiety and schoolwork. During a recent student survey, students value their happiness as the top priority of importance, which is reported to be in line with the criteria of students of similar schools.

7	Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and their families suggest that the education and well-being of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by partial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These findings are supported by a number of national studies. This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps resulting in pupils falling further behind age-related expectations.
8	Following a Challenge Partners review in March 2020, it was suggested that in order to improve the quality of provision and outcomes for disadvantaged pupils, we track attendance by different groups of pupils in club and other extracurricular activities more forensically so we can monitor and evaluate participation, determine any possible barriers and remove these to enhance the school experience and well-being for disadvantaged pupils. Based on our experience, extracurricular activities and involvement in wider areas of the school develop a sense of identity and belonging. They also build social skills and impact positively on social and emotional mental health. With the pandemic, such activities have been paused and the detrimental impact on behaviour and well-being has been evident. With these types of activities beginning again, our aim is to track attendance and participation and identify any barriers to students' participation, including those who are disadvantaged, so we can put measures in place to overcome these and enhance their school experience.
9	Our attendance data for years 2016 -2019 indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils has been between 2-3% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils and below our target of 95%. Students who are disadvantaged are overrepresented in those students who were 'persistently absent during this period. Our assessments, observations and PP review indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting the progress of disadvantaged pupils. While we have measures in place to maintain overall good attendance, this remains an integral part of the PP strategy, particularly with the impact of the pandemic.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved attainment among disadvantaged pupils across the curriculum at the end of KS4; including high ability disadvantaged	Disadvantaged students make informed decisions about their subject choices at KS4 securing a broad and balanced curriculum.
students.	2024/25 KS4 outcomes demonstrate that disadvantaged pupils achieve:
	- In line with their non-disadvantaged peers
	 In line with or above their expected levels of progress
	In line with their peers nationally
Improved reading comprehension among disadvantaged pupils across KS3	Reading comprehension assessments demonstrate improved comprehension skills

	among disadvantaged pupils and their non- disadvantaged peers. Teachers should also have recognised this improvement through engagement in lessons and book scrutiny.
Improved numeracy among disadvantaged pupils across KS3	Assessments demonstrate improved numeracy skills among disadvantaged pupils. Teachers should also have recognised this improvement through engagement in lessons and through book scrutiny.
Improved teaching and learning that meets the needs of the individual pupil, including those who are disadvantaged.	Improved systems for information sharing on pupils' needs. Improved understanding of needs and the use of enabling strategies to meet these needs in the classroom and dedicated to review impact of these strategies.
	Classroom observations, book scrutiny and progress data suggest that the needs of disadvantaged pupils are mostly met through high-quality teaching. Additional interventions are put in place where need identified.
Improved metacognitive and self-regulatory skills, among all pupils including those who are disadvantaged pupils across all subjects	Class observations suggest that disadvantaged pupils are more able to monitor and evaluate their own learning and self-regulate their response to challenge. Teachers should also have recognised this improvement through engagement in lessons and reflection time. This finding is supported in the behaviour reports and homelearning completion.
To achieve and sustain improved well-being for all pupils, including those who are disadvantaged	Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by: - Qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations High levels of participation in enrichment and extracurricular activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils.
To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, including those who are disadvantaged	Sustained high attendance from 2024/25 demonstrated by: - The overall attendance rate of all pupils being no lower than 95%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being no greater than 2% The percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent is in line with national figures and absence among disadvantaged pupils is proportional.

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £53,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Purchase of standardised diagnostic assessments; particularly for reading.	Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weakness of a pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through high-quality teaching and/or interventions.	1,2,4
Developing metacognitive and self-regulation skills in all pupils. Ongoing whole staff training and support. Introduced INSET Sept 2021 to teaching staff. Adapted for training of Teaching Assistants.	Teaching metacognitive strategies to pupils can be an inexpensive method to help pupils become more independent in their learning, particularly those who are disadvantaged. There is particularly strong evidence to suggest that this has a positive impact on maths attainment. Metacognition and self-regulation: EEF	3
Improving literacy in line with recommendations in the EEF guidance report: Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools Rolling out of the reading project.	Drawing upon the 7 recommendations from the Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools EEF Guidance Report. acquiring disciplinary literacy is key for students as they learn new, more complex concepts in each subject across the curriculum.	1, 2,
Developing attachment and trauma awareness among teaching staff. Take part in Hampshire Attachment and Trauma Aware	https://youthendowmentfund.org.uk/toolkit/trauma-informed-training-and-service-redesign/	1, 6, 7

Schools (SLT, DST,	
Inclusion Support	
Lead) to train whole	
staff on INSET Sept	
2021	

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £159,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Closing the gap with high-quality evidence based literacy interventions for struggling students: adapted curriculum, literacy specialist teacher, small group/1:1 interventions, professional development, purchase of programmes such as Lexonik and training.	Reading comprehension strategies can have a positive impact on students' progress across the curriculum as they increase their ability to understand a text. We can maximise the progress by using evidence based interventions e.g. paired reading (Keith Topping), Precision teaching, Lexonik those outlined in Greg Brooks 'What works for children and young people with literacy difficulties' (2006), EEF 'Promising Projects' and Reading comprehension strategies- Toolkit Strand EEF,. Impact is particularly the case when interventions are delivered over a shorter timespan: Reading comprehension strategies- Toolkit Strand EEF,.	1,2,
Engaging with school- led tutoring for students whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. A significant proportion of those who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers. Recruiting catch-up literacy and numeracy support teachers to support those who have been most impacted by the pandemic and are at risk of not achieving a Grade 4.	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	2, 4, 5, 6, 7

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £55,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challen ge number (s) address ed
Recruitmen t of Pupil Premium Pastoral Lead and ongoing training for intervention for specific pupils who require support with regulating their behaviour and emotions. Training and upskilling of pastoral team to support students' SEMH needs, including those who are disadvanta ged. Supervision by our educational psychologis t for these staff members.	'Mental health difficulties during adolescence can have lifelong effects, impacting on a range of adult outcomes including employment, the ability to establish and maintain healthy relationships, and general health and wellbeing.' EIF's report on adolescent mental health found that universal social and emotional learning (SEL) interventions have good evidence of enhancing young people's social and emotional skills and reducing symptoms of depression and anxiety in the short term. https://www.eif.org.uk/report/adolescent-mental-health-asystematic-review-on-the-effectiveness-of-school-based-interventions The basis of the ELSA programme also recognises that children learn better and are happier at school if their emotional needs are met. https://www.hants.gov.uk/educationandlearning/educationalpsychology/training/elsa The EIF's report on adolescent mental health found there is 'good evidence that universal and targeted cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) interventions are effective in reducing internalising symptoms in young people.' And therefore we plan to adopt a cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) intervention for specific pupils who require support with regulating and emotions.	6, 7
Embedding principles	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced persistent absence levels.	6, 7, 8

of good practice set out in DfE's Improving School Attendance advice. Attendance officer to monitor and improve attendance. Training and time to develop and implement new procedures .		
Track data on attendance and participatio n in extracurricu lar activities and wider school activities.	Based on our experience, extracurricular activities and involvement in wider areas of the school develop a sense of identity and belonging. They also build social skills and impact positively on social and emotional mental health. With the pandemic, such activities have been paused and the detrimental impact on behaviour and well-being has been evident. With these types of activities beginning again, our aim is to track attendance and participation and identify any barriers to students' participation, including those who are disadvantaged, so we can put measures in place to overcome these and enhance their school experience.	O
Contingenc y fund for acute issues	Based on our experiences having funding set aside for responding quickly to needs that have not yet been identified.	9

Total budgeted cost: £267,000

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

We have analysed the performance of our school's disadvantaged pupils during the 2021/22 academic year using key stage 4 performance data and our own internal assessments.

For 2022, the Progress 8 score (which is a measure of how much progress pupils at this school made across 8 qualifications between the end of KS2 and the end of KS4, compared to other similar pupils nationally) for our disadvantaged pupils was -0.24. For Attainment 8 (which is a measure of GCSE attainment across 8 subjects) it was 48.9. See DfE guidance for more information about KS4 performance measures.

DfE has strongly discouraged comparison of a school's 2022 performance data with results in previous years. The impact of COVID-19 makes it difficult to interpret why the results are as they are. In addition, changes were made to GCSE and A level exams in 2022, with adaptations such as advance information for pupils and grading that reflected a midway point between grading in 2021 and 2019.

We have, however, compared our results to national figures to help gauge the performance of our disadvantaged pupils (although these should be considered with caution given the caveats stated above). The national Attainment 8 score for disadvantaged pupils in 2021/22 was 37.5 and for non-disadvantaged pupils it was 52.6. For Progress 8, the national average score for disadvantaged pupils was –0.55 and for non-disadvantaged pupils it was 0.15.

The gap between the Progress 8 and Attainment 8 scores of our disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils has remained steady since the start of the pandemic which stands in contrast to the increasing gap nationally. The Progress 8 score of our non-disadvantaged pupils was +0.28, and the Attainment 8 score was 54.78. Our analysis suggests that our strategies to support our disadvantaged students throughout the pandemic have balanced the additional impact of the pandemic on disadvantaged pupils. Nationally, the impact of the pandemic seems to have disproportionally affected those students who are disadvantaged (Progress 8 score has decreased from -0.45 to -0.55) which our own analysis does not reflect (Progress 8 score has improved from -0.37 to -0.24). However, we also identified that some of the approaches we used to boost outcomes for disadvantaged pupils had less impact than anticipated, largely due

to the pandemic, and these approaches will have to be relaunched and adapted this academic year.

Key stage 4 data and our internal assessments suggest that, despite over a third of the cohort achieving above their expected target level, the overall progress and attainment of the school's disadvantaged pupils in 2021/22 was slightly below our expectations.

EBacc entry for disadvantaged pupils was 33.3%, slightly above the national average and similar to the level for the previous 3 years. It remains below that of non-disadvantaged pupils by 17.4%.

Absence among disadvantaged pupils was 6.1% higher than their peers in 2021/22. We recognise this gap in attendance plays a fundamental role in the attainment and progress gap which is why raising the attendance of our disadvantaged pupils is a focus of our current plan. We will develop 'nudge' groups with a Focus on disadvantaged students as well as using all other tools in accordance with our attendance policy to improve attendance and close this gap.

Our assessments demonstrated that challenges around wellbeing and mental health remain significantly higher than before the pandemic. The impact on disadvantaged pupils has been particularly acute and we continue to try to find all support available to all our vulnerable students.

These results mean that we are moving towards the outcomes that we set out to achieve by 2024/25, as stated in the Intended Outcomes section above. We have reviewed our strategy plan and made changes to how we intend to use some of our budget this academic year, as set out in the Activity in This Academic Year section above.

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider
Reading Plus	
Lexonik	
Dyslexia Screener Test	

Further information (optional)

Additional activity

Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. That will include:

- embedding more effective practice around feedback. <u>EEF evidence</u> demonstrates this has significant benefits, particularly for disadvantaged pupils.
- ensuring pupils understand our 'catch-up' plan by providing information about
 the support they will receive (including targeted interventions listed above), and
 what is expected of them. This will help to address concerns around learning
 loss one of the main drivers of pupil anxiety.
- offering a range of high-quality extra-curricular activities to boost wellbeing, behaviour, attendance, and aspiration. Activities (e.g., The Duke of Edinburgh's Award), will focus on life skills such as confidence, resilience, and socialising. Disadvantaged pupils will be encouraged and supported to participate.
- the school has extended the contact time with Year 11 students (Study has been pushed back 2 weeks) to ensure all students, but particularly disadvantaged stay connected and have opportunities to fill gaps.

Planning, implementation, and evaluation

In reviewing our current pupil premium strategy, we evaluated why activity undertaken in the previous year had not had the degree of impact that we had expected. We plan to commission a pupil premium review to get an external perspective.

We triangulated evidence from multiple sources of data including exams and assessments, engagement in class book scrutiny, and conversations with parents, students and teachers in order to identify the challenges faced by disadvantaged pupils. We also used the EEF's families of schools database to view the performance of disadvantaged pupils in schools similar to ours.

We looked at a number of reports and studies about effective use of pupil premium, the impact of disadvantage on education outcomes and how to address challenges to learning presented by socio-economic disadvantage. We also looked at a number of studies about the impact of the pandemic on disadvantaged pupils.

We used the <u>EEF's implementation guidance</u> to help us develop our strategy and will continue to use it through the implementation of our activities.

We have implemented a robust evaluation framework for the duration of our three-year strategy and will adjust our plan over time to secure better outcomes for pupils.